

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CWP-19770-2019****Date of decision: 22.07.2019**

Jagmail Singh and Others

..Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGAPresent: Mr. A.D.S.Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioners.Ms. Anu Chatrath, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab,

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ, in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to step up the pay of the petitioners equal to their juniors in the same cadre.

2. Learned counsel states that, at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (School Education), Punjab School Education Board Building, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali), to consider and decide the representation dated 13.10.2017 (Annexure P-6).

3. Heard.

4. In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (School Education), Punjab School Education Board Building, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali), to consider and decide the representation dated 13.10.2017 (Annexure P-6) within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration,

the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

July 22, 2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No