

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-20132-2019

Date of Decision:06.11.2019

Punjab National Bank

. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: - Mr.Anandeshwar Gautam, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has prayed for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct respondent No.2 to decide the application filed under Section 14 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 [for short 'the Act'].

Counsel for the respondent has submitted that after the issuance of notice in this case, the respondent No.2 has decided the application. As a result of which, the present petition has become infructuous. However, counsel for the petitioner has submitted that respondent No.2 may be directed to ensure that his order is complied within a prescribed time. Since, the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner is innocuous, therefore, respondent No.2 is further directed to ensure that the order passed by him under Section 14 of the Act is complied with/implemented within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in case there is no legal impediment.

Disposed of.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

06.11.2019

Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*