

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

218

CRM-M-30399-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 29.10.2019

SUKHJINDER SINGH AND OTHERS ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.53 dated 06.05.2019, under Sections 324, 148, 149, 342 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Section 326 IPC added later on and deleted thereafter), registered at Police Station Sadar Batala, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that there is a delay of one month in registering the FIR and only simple injuries were caused in the incident. He further contends that section 326 IPC has been deleted from the FIR.

This Court, by the order dated 22.07.2019, had directed the petitioners to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar, states that the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the petitioners having joined investigation, the order dated 22.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.10.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No