**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

*****

***CWP-15945-2018 (O&M)***
***Date of Decision:09.07.2019***

*****

Naib Singh

. . . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . . Respondents

*****

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN**
**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

*****

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr.Shreesh Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr.Manish Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

*****

***RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)***

Learned counsel for respondent No.5 has stated at the Bar that the present petition has become infructuous because the land in question has already been re-auctioned in the year 2018-2019 and 2019-2020.

Since, counsel for the petitioner is not present, therefore, believing the statement of counsel for respondent No.5, the present petition is hereby disposed of having been rendered infructuous. It is made clear that in case the petitioner found that the statement made by counsel for respondent No.5 is incorrect, he will be at liberty to file an application for revival of the present petition.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)**
**JUDGE**

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)**
**JUDGE**

**09.07.2019**
*Vivek*

*Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes/No*
*Whether Reportable : Yes/No*

VIVEK PAHWA
2019.07.11 10:43
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document