

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-19732-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.07.2019**

Kanwaljeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Vipul Babuta, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks a mandamus for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of respondent No.4.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner at length and having perused the pleadings on record, this Court is of the considered view that no intervention in the matter is called for.

Apparently, the petitioner had entered into an agreement to sell as a vendee with respondent No.4. It has been alleged that respondent No.4 has sold the property to a 3rd party. Petitioner is stated to have already instituted a suit for specific performance and in which the competent Court had even passed certain interim directions.

The instant writ petition is seen as a fallout of a civil dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.4.

Even otherwise, the pleadings on record are not sufficient

for this Court to infer any imminent and immediate danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Petition is dismissed.

22.07.2019

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No