

**CM-10402-CWP-2019 in/and
RA-CW-292-2019 in
CWP-13757-2015**

Vikram Kumar Vs. State of Punjab & another

Present: Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner.

...

The instant review application has been filed seeking recalling of the order dated 15.12.2017 and whereby the writ petition had been allowed in terms of order of even date passed in a bunch of writ petitions including **CWP-12618-2014 (Parminder Singh & others Vs. State of Punjab & others)**.

Having heard counsel for the applicant at length, this Court is of the considered view that no ground for review/recalling of the order dated 15.12.2017 is made out.

It would be apposite to take note that the main writ petition i.e. CWP-13757-2015 had come up for preliminary hearing before this Court on 13.07.2015 before a Coordinate Bench of this Court and while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed:

“Contends that the matter in issue is already pending consideration before this Court in CWP-13176-2014, titled as Simarpreet Singh & others Vs. State of Punjab & others, and post notice of motion, is listed for 01.10.2015.

Notice of motion for 01.10.2015.

To be heard with CWP-13176-2014.”

It has gone uncontroverted that **CWP-13176-2014 (Simarpreet Singh & others Vs. State of Punjab & others)** and upon which reliance had been placed upon by counsel himself at the stage of issuance of notice of motion has been allowed in terms of a common judgment dated 15.12.2017

passed by this Court in a bunch of writ petitions including CWP-12618-2014 and CWP-13176-2014.

Under such circumstances, there would be no ground for counsel to draw a distinction and to seek recalling of the order whereby the main writ petition had been allowed.

That apart, the instant review application has been filed along with CM-10402-2019 seeking condonation of delay of 547 days in filing the review application.

There is no cogent ground brought on record to justify the condonation of such inordinate delay of 547 days.

The review application, as such, is dismissed both on merits as well as on the ground of delay.

30.09.2019
harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE