

255

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-2532-2019

Date of decision: 01.10.2019

PARDEEP KUMAR

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

MANDIP SINGH BRAR, IAS, DIRECTOR

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Dalal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The writ petition was filed by the petitioner challenging the order dated 03.02.2017 passed by Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh (CAT). The writ petition was allowed on 17.12.2018. The order dated 03.02.2017 was set aside alongwith order of reversion dated 24.03.2014 and further respondent was directed to permit the petitioner to discharge the duties of Assistant Food & Supplies Officer with all consequential benefits.

It would be pertinent to note that the petitioner attained the age of superannuation on 31.05.2018 i.e during the pendency of the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the respondent has produced orders dated

18.09.2019 & 27.09.2019. The same are taken on record and copy handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner. As per order dated 18.09.2019, the petitioner has allowed consequential pecuniary benefits for promotion of Assistant Food and Supplies Officer from the date of promotion i.e. 21.12.2012 till the date of superannuation. Vide order dated 27.09.2019, the claim of the petitioner for extension of the service has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions from Ms. Sarita Senior Assistant, Food and Supplies Department, UT Chandigarh, submits that the pecuniary benefits would be credited in the account of the petitioner within six weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, if aggrieved from orders dated 18.09.2019 and 27.09.2019.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. The petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition, in case, the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent today in Court is not adhered to.

It is further clarified that in case, the petitioner is forced to revive the contempt petition, exemplary costs may be imposed which shall be recovered personally from the defaulting official.

Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

1st October, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*