

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30390-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.09.2019

Piyush Chaprana and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.181 dated 04.05.2019 under Sections 323, 34, 341, 427, 452, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-31, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

While granting interim bail to the petitioners, following order was passed by this Court on 19.07.2019: -

“...Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the complainant is the real nephew of petitioner No.3, who is an Ex-Municipal Counselor and petitioners No.1 and 3 are practising Advocates and even the complainant is a practising Lawyer. It is further argued that primarily it is a dispute regarding the partition of the property and there is no MLR of the complainant in the present

case and the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners has been dismissed vide order dated 12.07.2019 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad only on the ground that another FIR No.248 for giving threats to the complainant party was registered on 16.06.2019. It is further submitted that since the parties are closely related with each other, there is a possibility of some amicable settlement between the parties...”

As per report of the Mediator dated 16.09.2019, no settlement could be arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Naresh Kumar, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioners are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 19.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

26.09.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No