

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-20799 of 2016**

**Date of decision: 24.10.2019**

Mahabir Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Devender Punia, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate  
for respondent No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has no communication from the petitioner as to whether the petitioner has been promoted as Cane Development Inspector, which is the prayer of the petitioner in the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for respondents has produced order dated 03.09.2019, by which the petitioner has been promoted as Cane Development Inspector.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to rebut the same.

Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly. However, in case, it is found that the petitioner is not promoted to the post of Cane Development Inspector, the petitioner will be at liberty to revive the present writ petition.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**

24.10.2019

**JUDGE**

*aarti*

1.Whether speaking/non-speaking?

Yes/No

2.Whether reportable?

Yes/No