

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(116)

CWP-19473-2019

Date of Decision: July 19, 2019

Smt. Parveen Bajaj

.. Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. D.R. Kaith, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the amount of excess pension paid, to the tune of Rs.4,23,228/- is being withdrawn from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the aforesaid amount is not being recovered in installments but has been recovered by the respondents arbitrarily from another account of the petitioner from another branch of the same bank. Counsel prays that the said act of the respondents is arbitrary.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondents, if wanted to deduct could have fixed reasonable installments as the petitioner is a widow and recovery of the said amount from the widow is causing great hardship. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has submitted a representation to the Bank in this regard on 07.02.2019 (Annexure P-5). Though the said representation has been decided on 26.02.2019 (Annexure P-6) but counsel for the petitioner argues that no reason has been assigned by the respondents to deny the request of the petitioner for deducting the amount in installments.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the higher authorities of the Bank in this regard for bringing to their notice the prejudice/harassment which is being suffered by the petitioner by filing appropriate representation or serving a legal notice.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

July 19, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No