

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(238) CWP-17192-2017
Date of Decision: April 09, 2019

Ramjit Singh .. Petitioner

Versus

PRTC .. Respondent

(2) CWP-13284-2018

Mohan Singh and others .. Petitioners

Versus

PRTC .. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioner in both writ petitions.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent in CWP-17192-2017.

Ms. Nikita Bansal, Advocate, for
Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate,
for the respondent in CWP-13284-2018.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

By this order of mine, two writ petitions, which involve the same question of law and similar facts, are being decided. For the sake of convenience, the facts are being extracted from CWP No.17192 of 2017.

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the total service of the petitioner is not being counted as a qualifying service for the grant of pensionary benefits and only the service period for which the contribution to the provident fund was deducted, has been taken into account for the grant of retiral benefits.

Learned counsel for the respondent very fairly states that the same issue, in respect to the respondent-Corporation, in the writ petitions filed by similarly situated personnel, has already been decided by this Court in **CWP No.24543 of 2016, titled as Gurdial Singh and others Vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and others, decided on 27.11.2018**, wherein after considering the law on the issue, claim of the similarly situated personnel has been allowed to count the total length of service rendered by them as a qualifying service subject to the conditions laid down therein for depositing the share of contribution of provident fund with the respondents.

Learned counsel for the parties requests that the present writ petitions be also disposed of in same terms as CWP No.24543 of 2016, decided on 27.11.2018.

In view of the above, both the writ petitions are disposed of in the same terms as CWP No.24543 of 2016, decided on 27.11.2018.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

April 09, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No