

215-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30436 of 2019**

**Date of decision: September 24, 2019**

Davinder Singh @ Ghora

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.121 dated 23.06.2019, under Sections 323, 353, 186, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Jagraon, District Ludhiana.

On 23.07.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“Contends that all the injuries have been declared simple in nature.*

*Learned State counsel seeks time to have instructions in the matter.*

*Adjourned to 24.09.2019.*

*To be heard along with CRM-M-30383 of 2019.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, **till the next date of hearing**, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The*

*petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that in terms of order dated 23.07.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 23.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 24, 2019**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No