

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-4438-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.07.2019

Suba Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Tarlok Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Under challenge in this revision petition is order dated 08.07.2019, passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Gurdaspur, vide which, he has allowed the application filed by defendant No.1 to cross examine PW-1-Suba Singh. The revision petition has been filed by the plaintiff, contending that the trial Court has wrongly granted permission to defendant No.1 to cross examine PW-1-Suba Singh.

After hearing learned counsel for the revisionist and going through the impugned order, I find that the trial Court did not do anything wrong in permitting defendant No.1 to cross examine PW-1-Suba Singh. The rules of procedure are meant for advancing ends of justice. The Courts are required to do substantial justice and not to get bogged down in technicalities. The order passed by the trial Court can certainly be not termed as perverse, arbitrary or as a result of wrong exercise of discretion. The order is quite detailed, well reasoned and

does not suffer from any illegality or infirmity, much less apparent on the face of it, which might have called for interference by this Court, while exercising revisional jurisdiction. Thus, finding no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

18.07.2019
sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No