

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-17014-2017

Date of decision: - 29.03.2019

Surender Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Surender Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner very fairly states that though the petitioner has been harassed, but the respondents have granted the benefits to the petitioner, vide order dated 25.09.2018.

Counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner does not have the information as to whether the consequential benefits in pursuance to the abovesaid order has been released in his favour or not so far.

Counsel for respondent No.3 states that an order was passed on 25.09.2018 and most likely, all the benefits accruing out of the said order must have been released to the petitioner.

Counsel for respondent No.3 states that in case the order dated 25.09.2018, granting the benefits to the petitioner, has not been

implemented so far, the same will be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement given by the counsel for respondent No.3, he does not want to press the present writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of, as not pressed.

Disposed of, as not pressed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 29, 2019
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No