

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 19645 of 2019

Date of Decision: July 22, 2019

Inder Singh and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.**

Present: Mr. Narender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition seeking direction to the respondents for quashing of the notification No. LAC(F)2002/NTLA/95 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 dated 17.04.2002 (Annexure P-3), declaration under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, issued vide notification No. LAC(F)-NTLA/2003/157 dated 10.04.2003 as well as award dated 25.06.2004 in view of judgments passed in ***Reshma Footwear vs. State of Haryana (CWP No. 2308 of 2004)*** Annexure P-5, ***Girdhari Lal and others vs. Union of India (CWP No. 17412 of 2004)*** Annexure P-9 and ***HUDA vs. Reshma Footwear (SLP(C) No. 10857-10878/2011)*** Annexure P-10.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that similarly situated persons filed CWP No. 9082 of 2014 and the said petition was disposed of vide order dated 21.02.2018 wherein direction was issued to the respondent-State to consider the claim of the petitioners for release of their respective property in view

the judgments referred hereinabove. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case same directions are issued by this Court to dispose of their representation dated 01.04.2019 (Annexure P-11).

Keeping in view the limited prayer, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for release of their respective property in the view of the judgments as mentioned above and necessary action be taken on their representation dated 01.04.2019 (Annexure P-11) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is made clear that till the decision of the representation of the petitioners, status quo as it exists today shall be maintained.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

July 22, 2019
reena

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No