

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(213)

CWP-20520-2016

Date of Decision: January 11, 2019

Dhoop Singh Mor

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasmeet Singh Bedi, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
for respondents No. 1 to 4 and 6.

Respondent No.5 proceeded ex parte.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with a prayer that the respondents be directed to compute the service rendered by the petitioner in Government Aided School against the sanctioned post while determining the qualifying service for which the petitioner is entitled for calculating the pensionary benefits.

In the writ petition, it has been averred that the petitioner had rendered service w.e.f. 02.07.1979 till 10.05.1993 in a Government Aided School against the sanctioned post and the said period needs to be counted as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits.

Upon notice of motion, reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents. In the reply filed, an averment has been made in paragraph 2 that the respondents have decided to allow the benefit of computing the service rendered by the petitioner in Aided School and after calculating the

same even the sanction order has also been issued. The relevant part of the reply is as under:-

“That the claim of the petitioner was reconsidered by the competent authorities. It has been decided to allow the benefit of computing the service rendered in Aided School as claimed by the petitioner in present writ petition for the purpose of pension only. Accordingly, sanction bearing No.4/387-2015 PGT-II (5) dated 16.10.2017 has been issued. Copy of the same is annexed at Annexure R-I.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that even though the order has already been passed on 16.10.2017 but still the actual payment has not been released to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that in case the said amount has not been paid, all the efforts will be made and it will be ensured that the amount, for which the petitioner becomes entitled for in view of the order dated 16.10.2017, will be released to the petitioner within a period of two months from today.

Keeping in view the assurance given by counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of as not pressed by the petitioner.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

January 11, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No