

**241 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM-7656-CWP-2018 in/and
CWP No. 21420 of 2015 (O&M)**
Date of Decision: 09.09.2019.

Surinder Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Harinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

CM-7656-CWP-2018

The application is allowed as prayed for. Annexures
A-1 to A-6 (colly) are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

Through the instant civil writ petition, the petitioner
seeks quashing of order dated 08.11.2012 (Annexure P-3) passed by
respondent No.2 vide which the claim of the petitioner for stepping up
his pay at par with his juniors was rejected.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the
petitioner that in pursuance to the order dated 19.07.2011 (Annexure
P-2) passed in CWP No.12512 of 2011, the respondents have passed
impugned order dated 08.11.2012 (Annexure P-3) while comparing the
case of the petitioner with one Pal Singh whereas, his case was required

to be decided in the light of the case of Surinderpal Singh, therefore, the case of the petitioner requires fresh consideration by respondent No.2.

Learned State counsel states that she has no objection in case the prayer of the petitioner is accepted.

In view of the above, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (SE), Punjab to decide the representation dated 12.04.2017 (Annexure A-3) within three months from today in the light of the order passed in the case of Surinderpal Singh.

However, in case the grievance of the petitioner still subsists, the petitioner will be at liberty to revive the present petition.

09.09.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No