

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(215)

CWP-21226-2015

Date of Decision: August 20, 2019

Ram Snehi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which the petitioner has raised is that the qualifying service of the petitioner has been reduced by the respondents on the ground that petitioner has remained absent for a period of 372 days starting from the year 1981 till 1989, the detail of which has been given in Annexure R-2, by the respondents with their reply.

Petitioner has not been able to show any document that the ground taken by the respondents in their reply that as the petitioner remained absent for a period of 372 days, hence he is not entitled for the benefit of those days to be counted as a qualifying service, is not a valid ground.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that no detail has been given as to how these 372 days have been calculated by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition, at this stage, with liberty to approach the respondents to seek the details of 372 days, for which petitioner has been shown absent and in case, thereafter, a need arises, petitioner be given liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

August 20, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No