

**CRM-31902-2019 in/and
CRM-M-30111-2019**

-1-

101+219-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-31902-2019 in/and
CRM-M-30111-2019
Date of decision-24.10.2019**

Nisha Rani

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. S.S.Dinarpur, Advocate for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

CRM-31902-2019

The application has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for addition of offences under Sections 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 in the main petition.

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Main case

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.629 dated 02.07.2019 under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Thanesar, District Kurukshetra. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 18.07.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Notice of motion for 31.07.2019.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

At this stage, Mr. Subhash Godara, Advocate has appeared and filed vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant. Same is taken on record.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted herself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 18.07.2019 is made absolute.

24.10.2019

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No