

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(258)

CWP-14828-2018

Date of Decision: August 27, 2019

Vijay Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate, for respondents No. 3 & 4.

None for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is for the release of the gratuity in respect of the service rendered by the petitioner with respondent No.3-institution. Though, in paragraph 21, it has been stated by the petitioner that he has no alternative and efficacious remedy except to approach this Court, but on being asked as to whether, the petitioner has remedy under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, counsel for the petitioner could not rebut the same.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

August 27, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No