

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

Criminal Misc. No.M-29930 of 2019

Date of decision : 28.08.2019

Pankaj Meena

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Kumar Jangra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Dhir, Legal Aid counsel for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 93 dated 26.06.2018, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Patiala, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that the Company run by him had received an amount of ₹14,64,000/- as part payment from the complainant for the sale of three plots in Shivam City, Jaipur. Learned counsel has handed over four post-dated cheques bearing Nos.'051526' to '051529' dated 27.08.2019, 03.09.2019, 03.10.2019 & 03.11.2019, amounting to Rs.2,00,000/-, Rs.1 lac, Rs.3,70,000/- and Rs.3,76,000/- respectively, to the complainant, who is present in Court and has been identified by his counsel. Photocopies of the afore-noted cheques are retained on record.

This Court, by the order dated 17.07.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating/Arresting Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Iqbal Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation and not required for custodial interrogation, the order dated 17.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

Liberty to the complainant to prefer an application in the event of the cheques being dishonoured.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 28, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No