

(210) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24250 of 2013

Date of decision: 18.07.2019

Gurnam Dass and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned Sr. Advocate states that the petitioners having retired from service during the pendency of the writ petition as Tehsil Welfare Officers and having been released retiral benefits of the said post, the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

[2] Learned Sr. DAG states that he has no objection to the aforementioned submission of learned Sr. Advocate.

[3] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.07.2019
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.