

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-19226-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:17.07.2019**

Gurmail Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is aggrieved of the action of respondents No.5 to 9 in not permitting him to irrigate his fields. Further prayer is for issuance of directions to the officials respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.5 to 9.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner at length and having perused the pleadings on record, this Court is of the considered view that no intervention in the matter is called for.

Insofar as the issue of irrigation of fields of the petitioner that he has taken on lease is concerned, counsel has very fairly conceded that he has already instituted a suit and which is pending. In such suit even an application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 was filed and interim directions in favour of the petitioner have already been granted.

Raising similar prayer in the instant petition would tantamount to running parallel proceedings. The same is not permissible.

Pleadings on record are insufficient for this Court to infer any

imminent danger to the life of the petitioner.

Prayer made in the instant writ petition as such cannot be entertained.

Petition is dismissed.

17.07.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |