

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(105+246)

**CM-7739-CWP-2019 in/and
CWP-16417-2017
Date of Decision: May 16, 2019**

Madan Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

CM-7739-CWP-2019

Present application has been filed to place on record the replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.4.

The application is allowed and replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.4, is taken on record.

CWP-16417-2017

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by counsel for the petitioner is challenging the action of a cooperative society i.e. respondent No.4 whereby the pay of the petitioner has been wrongly fixed and the recovery has been ordered.

At the outset, learned counsel for respondent No.4 raises preliminary objection to the maintainability of the present writ petition against a cooperative society and submits that the petitioner has the remedy under the Cooperative Societies Act, 1984.

Keeping in view the said objection, learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the authorities under Section 115 of the Cooperative Societies Act, 1984 for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner as raised by him in the present writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

May 16, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No