

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19678-2019

Date of decision: - 22.07.2019

Indira Wati

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. S.K.Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of the increment for the service in which the petitioner rendered for a period of one year continuously from 01.07.2008 to 30.06.2009, which benefit has not been extended to the petitioner only on the ground that the increment was to be granted to the petitioner on 01.07.2009 on which date, the petitioner was not in service and therefore, the benefit of the increment has not been granted to the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order passed by the Madras High Court (Annexure P-3) in support of his claim that petitioner is entitled for the increment for the said period.

Counsel for the petitioners further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide her claim raised in the legal notice dated 16.05.2019 (Annexure P-2).

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 16.05.2019 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 16.05.2019 (Annexure P-2) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within three months thereafter.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

22nd July, 2019
shabha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No