

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20813 of 2015

Date of decision: -27.11.2019

Amrit Kaur

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. .

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in any case, the petitioner is entitled for gratuity as she has worked for more than five years with the respondent-Panchayat Samiti hence, the petitioner is entitled for gratuity under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 (in short 'the Act'). On being pointed out that there is a mechanism already given under the Act to claim the gratuity in case of any dispute, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that petitioner be granted liberty to approach the controlling authority under the Act for the redressal of her grievance for the release of gratuity in respect of the service rendered by her.

With regard to the claim of the petitioner for the release of the other pensionary benefits, learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage,

the petitioner be granted liberty to approach the respondents for redressal of her grievance by praying that the petitioner be treated as Gram Sewika for grant of pensionary benefits as has been done in the case of other employees.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

27.11.2019

JUDGE

- aarti*1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No