

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(118)

CWP-20022-2019

Date of Decision: July 23, 2019

Khazan Chand Bajaj

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that wife of the petitioner had underwent cataract surgery in the year 2017 and underwent further treatment for the same ailment in the year 2018 for which, the petitioner had submitted the bills for medical reimbursement. Counsel for the petitioner argues that though vide order dated 11.05.2018, a sum of Rs.23,105/- has already been sanctioned but the same has not been released to the petitioner without there being any valid justification. Counsel for the petitioner further argues that another sum of Rs.23,387/- has already been sanctioned but the payment for the same is not being made by the respondents without any valid justification.

Prayer in the present writ petition is for issuing direction to the respondents to release the amount incurred by the petitioner in pursuance to the medical bills submitted by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 28.03.2019 (Annexure P-3) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 28.03.2019 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 28.03.2019 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

July 23, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No