

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

**CWP No.19174 of 2019
Date of Decision: 17.07.2019**

PDM Religious & Educational Association, BahadurgarhPetitioner

Versus

Debt Recovery Tribunal-II, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present : Mr. V.K. Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has prayed for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to challenge the validity of the orders dated 18.04.2019 passed by the Debt Recovery Tribunal-II, Chandigarh by which the prayer made by the petitioner by way of two Interlocutory Applications i.e. (IAs), firstly for issuance of notice to the District Magistrate who had passed the order under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 (for short, “the Act”) and secondly to prepone the date of hearing and to grant the order of stay, was rejected.

After arguing for some time vehemently, counsel for the petitioner, faced with the observations of the Court that the impugned orders are amenable to appeal under Section 18 of the Act, prays for withdrawal of the present petition to avail the remedy of appeal in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

In case, there is a delay in filing of the appeal, the petitioner may take recourse to the relevant provisions of the law.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

17.07.2019
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No