

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16232 of 2017
Date of decision: 20.08.2019**

Aditya Avnash Kaushik

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma Nabhewala, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the writ petition has become infructuous because the benefits of Non Practicing Allowance and the revision of pay in terms of the recommendations of 7th Pay Commission as has been claimed in the writ petition has been granted to the petitioner.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

August 20, 2019
ps

**(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No