

CRM-M-30202-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30202-2019

Date of Decision: 24.07.2019

Karam Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate for
Mr. A.D.S.Jattan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in a case FIR No.21 dated 14.05.2019, registered at Police Station Sehna, District Barnala, under Sections 307, 324, 323, 506, 427 and 34 of the IPC and Section 326 of the IPC added later on.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Perusal of record shows that the complainant is real brother of the present petitioner and there is a dispute regarding possession of

CRM-M-30202-2019

some agricultural land. Perusal of record also shows that as per the prosecution version, the present petitioner was armed with blunt weapon and injuries were caused.

Learned State counsel submits that injuries on the index finger as well as on the wrist have been declared grievous and other injuries are simple in nature and all the injuries were caused by blunt weapon. However, the doctor has given the opinion that all the injuries having cumulative effect can be dangerous to life.

The petitioner has been in custody since 20.05.2019. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find that it is a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail. Therefore, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.40,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

24.07.2019

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No