

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR-4487-2019

Date of decision: 19.07.2019

Gyan Devi and another

...Petitioners

V/s.

Raajo Devi and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI.

Present: Mr. Anuj Arya, Advocate for the petitioners.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral).

The petitioners have come up in revision against the order dated 07.05.2019 whereby their application for appointment of Local Commissioner has been dismissed.

A perusal of the order dated 07.05.2019 shows that the application filed in the suit has been rightly dismissed as the trial Court has rightly observed that it is for the plaintiffs to prove their exclusive possession by leading necessary evidence and the question of possession cannot be ascertained by appointment of Local Commissioner and has also placed reliance on the judgments passed in *Vinod Kumar V/s. Gram Panchayat of village Kheri Naru & others*, 2007 (4), LJR, 855 (P&H), *Darshan Singh V/s. State of Punjab* 2016(4) Law Herald (P&H) 3349, *Suraj Bal Singh & others V/s. Jasbir & Others* 2014(1) Law Herald (P&H) 136 and *Shamlal V/s. Sumitra Devi & Others* 2016(4) Law Herald (P&H) 2984.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

19.07.2019

Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No