

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29725-2019  
Date of decision: 27.08.2019**

**Sundram Sood**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Sahil Soi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Respondent No. 2 Sanjeev Sood in person.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in complaint case No. RBT No. 79/COMI No. 53 dated 14.07.2015, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148 and 149 of the IPC, pending in the Court of JMIC, Zira.

On 16.07.2019, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially FIR No.6 dated 17.01.2012 was registered under Sections 326, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Zira, District Ferozepur and thereafter, the police prepared the cancellation report on 28.03.2012. It is further submitted that thereafter, the complainant has filed the instant complaint, in which the trial Court has summoned the petitioner vide order dated 09.05.2019. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready to surrender before the trial Court and apply for bail.

Notice of motion for 05.08.2019.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of 15 days from

today and the trial Court will release him on interim bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 16.07.2019, has already appeared before the trial Court and has been released on interim bail. A certified copy of order dated 23.07.2019, passed by the trial Court in this regard, is also placed on record.

Respondent No. 2, who is present in Court, submits that other accused persons, namely Sanyam Sood and Shivam Sood, have already travelled abroad and there is strong possibility that the petitioner may also travel to abroad without the permission of the Court.

Learned counsel for the State has not disputed the factual position.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the order dated 16.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, this will be subject to the condition that petitioner will deposit his passport with the trial Court and in case the petitioner wishes to travel abroad, he would be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the trial Court for release of his passport and for permission to travel abroad.

**27.08.2019**

*Waseem Ansari*

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No  
Yes/No*