

218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29787 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: December 06, 2019

Mangal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner, in FIR No.26 dated 22.03.2019, under Sections 324, 323, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 326 of IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Mamdot, District Ferozepur.

As per prosecution case, petitioner along with co-accused caused injuries on the person of the complainant.

Contends that the petitioner was arrested, in this case, on 15.04.2019. Also contends that, on 19.07.2019, this Court had granted petitioner the concession of interim bail, till the next date of hearing. Further contends that, today, petitioner as well as complainant-Veera Rani are present before this Court and both have decided to live a happy married life.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by the learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sandeep Singh.

Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

Since the petitioner as well as complainant both are present today, mutually settled the matter and also stated that they are living together a happy married life; therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to release the petitioner on bail pending trial.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and order dated 19.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. Consequently, the petitioner is admitted to bail pending trial, in this case, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petitioner shall fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

December 06, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No