

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

224

Criminal Misc. No.M-29672 of 2019

Date of decision : 20.08.2019

Gursimarjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 87 dated 03.06.2018, under Section 382 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR are that during the 'Kisan' agitation, he along with others had looted fruits and vegetables after stopping the vehicle of the complainant. It is also alleged that the 'Kisan Union' had given a call that no fruits and vegetables will be sold during the agitation.

This Court, by the order dated 16.07.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating/Arresting Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Kewal Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation and not required for custodial interrogation, the order dated 16.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 20, 2019

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No