

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19104 of 2019
Date of decision:17.07.2019**

Satish Kumar	Vs.	... Petitioner(s)
State of Haryana		... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr.Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Grievance of petitioner is that department without assigning any reason has withdrawn the current duty charge.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that case of promotion has also not been considered as owing to malice has issued charge sheet and for that independent writ petition has been filed.

I am afraid the aforementioned argument is not sustainable, for, a person does not have any lien and right for holding the current duty charge. It is only as interim measure given by the position and cannot be manned by un-authorized person.

No ground for interference is made out in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

July 17, 2019
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
---------------------------	--------

Whether Reportable	Yes/No
--------------------	--------