

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29580-2019

Date of decision: 29.08.2019

Gagandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate and
Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail in FIR No.02 dated 06.01.2019 under Sections 307, 323, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Chajjli, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered on the statement of Husinderpal Singh @ Happy, Fateh Singh, Baljinder Singh, Narinder Singh @ Nandu, petitioner Gagandeep Singh, Sunny, Gurwinder Singh @ Mithu Singh along with two unknown persons came in the street outside the house of Gulab Singh. Baljinder Singh gave a lalkara, Fateh Singh gave a rod blow towards the head of Gulab Singh, which struck on his left eye, Narinder Singh @ Nandu gave a baseball blow, which struck on his head and petitioner Gagandeep Singh gave a rod blow, which

struck on the right arm of Gulab Singh. Thereafter, victim Gulab Singh raised a voice and all the accused persons ran away from the spot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that as per MLR of Gulab Singh, he has suffered four injuries and as per CT Scan report, there is a fracture on both outer and inner of left frontal sinus. It is further submitted that these injuries are not attributed to the petitioner and the same are attributed to co-accused Narinder Singh @ Nandu and Fateh Singh. It is also submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other case; he is in custody since 12.01.2019; challan already stands presented and it will take some time in conclusion of the trial.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of custody certificate dated 19.07.2019, has not disputed the factual position.

Without commenting anything on merits of the case, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 12.01.2019; he is not involved in any other case and also in view of the fact that the aforesaid injuries are not attributed to him and conclusion of the trial will take some time, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing his bail/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

Petition is disposed of.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

29.08.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No