

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

246

CRM-M-29476-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 26.08.2019

SANJIV VIJ AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.57 dated 18.06.2019, under Sections 427 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations in the FIR are that the petitioners had demolished a part of octroi room and taken away the material/'malba' lying therein. He also contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated just to pressurize them not to participate in the election process for the post of Municipal Councillor.

This Court, by the order dated 15.07.2019, had directed the petitioners to appear before the Investigating Officer and join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ajay Rajan, states that the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners and the petitioners having joined investigation, the order dated 15.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

26.08.2019

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No