

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18883 of 2016

Date of decision: - 26.08.2019

Municipal Pensioners Welfare Association

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

***CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present:- Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocate for  
Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

The present writ petition has been filed by a Union/association claiming the benefit of enhanced medical allowance which is awarded to them @ 500/- per month as well as Leave Travel Concession which they are entitled for under the Rules governing the service. The grievance as being raised in the present writ petition is that these benefits are not being extended to the employees.

Reply has been filed by the respondents in which it has been stated that the medical allowance @ 500/- is being paid to the employees and with regard to the Leave Travel Concession as and when any bill is submitted, the same is processed and after verifying the facts, the amount is

released. There is no replication filed by the petitioner-Association

controverting the same.

At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner-Association states that the members of the petitioner-Association are not being paid the benefit of medical allowance and leave travel concession regularly. In the body of the petition, no instance has been given by the petitioner-Association to the effect that to whom and for what period, medical allowance @ 500/- per month was not paid and to which member of petitioner-Union. In the absence of any specific facts, the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner-Association that the benefits are not being paid by the respondents to the member of the petitioner-Association cannot be accepted.

Once the respondents have stated that the benefits are being released to the Member of the petitioner-Association regularly and there is no replication controverting the same, no order is required to be passed in the present case.

However, in case any Member of the petitioner-Association has any grievance, he can approach the appropriate authority for the claim of the same. In case the same is not been released to him/her as per his/her entitlement within a reasonable time, they can avail their remedies for the redressal of their grievances in accordance with law.

Writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

( HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI )  
JUDGE

August 26, 2019  
kanchan

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes  
Whether reportable? No