

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18751 of 2016
Date of Decision : 09.04.2019.

Preeti Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

 Ms. Jyotika, Advocate for
 Mr. Rajesh Punj, Advocate for respondent No.4.

 Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate for respondent No.6.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Although adjournment slip has been attached by learned counsel for respondent No.4, yet learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the petitioner having been allowed to rejoin vide letter dated 21.11.2016 (Annexure R/1), the writ petition has become infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the official respondents for consideration of her claim for payment of salary for the period w.e.f. 09.08.2016 to 21.11.2016 i.e. the period during which the petitioner was without a job on account of no fault on her part.
2. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty as prayed for. In case any such representation is made by the petitioner,

the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents, in accordance with law.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

09.04.2019
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No