

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 13447 of 2018.

Date of Decision: 16.09.2019.

Reena and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The petitioners seek quashing of order dated 11.05.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.3 vide which the prayer of the petitioners for meeting with each other in the jail, was declined.

Learned State counsel states that an application had been moved by petitioner No.2, Vinod Mandal with request to grant permission to meet co-accused Reena, petitioner No.1 being his relative. As per reply, the petitioners are neither related by consanguinity nor by marriage as the names of father of Vinod Mandal and that of father-in-law of petitioner, Reena are different.

At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that he be given an opportunity to move a fresh application after submitting correct particulars.

In view of the above, the present civil writ petition is disposed of. The application so filed shall be dealt with in accordance with law. The needful be done within a period of two weeks from the date of submission of the application.

16.09.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No