

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

104

CRM-M-30140 of 2019

Date of decision:22.10.2019

Jagdev Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.J.S.Thakur, Advocate, for
Mr.Monty Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr.B.S.Sewak, Addl.AG, Punjab
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, i.e. 1.10.2019, the following order had been passed, which in fact is essentially a reproduction of the orders dated 18.7.2019 and 25.7.2019:-

“The turn of the case again having come up well after 4.00 p.m, none is present for the petitioner again, though it is to be noticed that on 25.07.2019, the following order had been passed:-

“On 18.07.2019, the following order had been passed:-

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner handed over charge of the post of Secretary of the Society to one Rupinder Kaur in the year 2017, who took charge obviously after duly checking the accounts, after which two audits have also taken place but with the FIR registered only in the year 2019.

Notice of motion, returnable on 25.7.2019.

The Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Society, Ludhiana East, i.e. the complainant in the FIR, is ordered to be impleaded as respondent no.2 in the petition. The Registry is directed to carry out necessary corrections in the memo of parties.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, learned AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of both the respondents, at the asking of the Court.

Two copies of the petition be handed over to him during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 25.7.2019.”

Today, with none being present for the petitioner, learned State counsel submits that even copies of the petition have not been handed over to him and consequently no brief of the case has been made in the office of the Advocate General, Punjab.

No interim order having been passed on that date, adjourned to 07.08.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over two copies of the petition to learned State counsel during the course of the day.”

Adjourned to 21.10.2019.

To be shown in the urgent motion list.”

Today, a request for an adjournment has been made on behalf of

counsel for the petitioner. With none having turned up for the petitioner on previous three dates, I see absolutely no ground to entertain the request.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

22.10.2019
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No