

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29564 of 2019

Date of decision: October 14, 2019

Gurmej Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Anshika Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners, in DDR No.34 dated 18.06.2017, under Sections 336, 324, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 (for short 'Arms Act'), registered at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur, in FIR No.156 dated 18.06.2017, under Sections 307, 324, 323, 148, 149, 120-B of IPC and Sections 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act (Sections 307 IPC and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act deleted later on), at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

On 15.07.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“Contends that matter has been compromised
between the petitioners and complainant.*

Notice of motion for 16.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit them to interim bail till the next date of hearing on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners are also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official present in Court to assist him, has pointed out that the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 15.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 14, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No