

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(218)

CWP-18538-2016

Date of Decision: May 28, 2019

Bhagat Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.K. Brinda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been granted the benefits as he was entitled for after his retirement i.e. from 31.03.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the pay of the petitioner was not rightly fixed w.e.f. 01.01.2006 and further, the benefits, for which the petitioner was entitled for upon his retirement in the year 2015, have been released to the petitioner only after the filing of the present writ petition in the year 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the benefits have been released but prays that the petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents for the grant of interest on the delayed payments and counting certain period for which the petitioner had worked on daily wage basis towards qualifying service.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that in case petitioner files any representation claiming interest on the payments which

have been released to him or raises any other claim for which he thinks, he is entitled for, an appropriate decision will be taken by the respondents within a period of three months of the submission of the representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Keeping in view the undertaking given by counsel for the respondents, counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the writ petition any further, at this stage.

Disposed of as having been not pressed.

May 28, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No