

218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29264 of 2019

Date of decision: September 19, 2019

Ravi Goyal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.98 dated 27.06.2019, under Sections 21, 25, 61, 85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station City-1, Mansa, District Mansa.

On 05.09.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that allegation of the prosecution that despite the presence of four police officials, petitioner ran away from the spot, is not conceivable. Also contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel seeks some time to verify the factual position regarding pendency of criminal cases, if any, pending against the petitioner.

Adjourned to 19.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of

his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Gurcharan Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 05.09.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 05.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 19, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No