

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219

**CRM-M-29323-2019
Date of Decision:18.07.2019**

Lakhan and others

....petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr.Anmol Malik, DAG Haryana

Mr.Shashikant Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL):

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.57 dated 02.03.2019 under Sections 147/149/323/342/506 IPC and Section 3(R), (S)-33-89 of SC/ST Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Kheripul, District Faridabad, Haryana.

On 12.07.2019 the following order was passed by this Court:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that apart from the fact that no offence under the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 is made out and other offences except offence under Section 506 of the Indian Penal Code are bailable, the parties have entered into a compromise and dispute stands settled. He has referred to Annexure P-2, the affidavit of the alleged victim/complainant.

Mr. Shashikant Singh, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent no.2-complainant/victim and has admitted the aforesaid facts.

Notice of motion for 18.07.2019.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State. A copy of the petition be handed over to Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana during the course of the day.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the investigating officer submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and not required for further custodial interrogation. Learned counsel appearing for the first informant-respondent No.2 has submitted that the parties have settled their dispute.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the order dated 12.07.2019 is made absolute.

18.07.2019

neenu

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No.
Yes/No