

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18785-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:12.07.2019**

Ramesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

As per pleadings on record, respondent No.5, Sushil Kumar is the son of the petitioner. The relationship between father and son is strained. In paragraph 3 of the petition, it is stated that the petitioner has already disowned his son from his moveable and immovable property and notice in such regard was even published in the newspaper on 06.07.2013. Petitioner is already stated to have instituted civil proceedings against his son and injunction has been sought as regards the property owned and possessed by him.

Instant writ petition has been filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of his son.

Averments on record are not sufficient for this Court to infer any realistic threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner. The instant writ petition is only a fallout of a dispute between father and son.

No directions are required to be passed in the instant writ

petition.

Petition is dismissed.

12.07.2019

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |