

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201)

CRM-M-29199-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.10.2019

Nitin Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation but with him having run away from the spot, where the car belonging to his brother was apprehended, the allegation against the petitioner being that he had thrown away a packet of polythene containing 30 grams of heroin in it, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, what needs to be observed for the purpose of this petition at least, is the fact that four police personnel are shown to have been on patrolling duty at the time when the petitioner is stated to have allegedly run away from the spot; hence, nothing further is stated by this court in that context.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed, with the interim order dated 12.07.2019, admitting the petitioner to interim bail, made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

However, nothing stated herein above shall be taken to be an observation of this court on the merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, but only in the context of the petition seeking anticipatory bail.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

16.10.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No