

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 18308 of 2016.

Date of Decision: 05.02.2019.

Uttri Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Roop Chand and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Wasu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The petitioner seeks quashing of Award dated 09.09.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), Panchkula-respondent No.2.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for respondent No.1 has brought to the notice of the Court that certain persons, particularly Ramjas and Malkit/Gurmeet, whose names are reflected in paragraph No.2 of the preliminary objections of the written statement filed by respondent No.1 have been allowed electricity connection by the petitioner.

In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to look into the factual aspect of the matter, as asserted by learned counsel for

respondent No.1 and if the statement made by the learned counsel is

found to be as per record, necessary remedial steps be taken in this regard and if the case of respondent No.1 is not found at par with the persons named by respondent No.1 in paragraph No.2 of the written statement, a detailed order be passed in the matter.

In the meantime, the operation and effect of the impugned Award dated 09.09.2015 (Annexure P-3) shall remain in abeyance.

Disposed of accordingly.

05.02.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No