

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

**CWP No.18722-2019
Date of Decision:16.07.2019**

Amarjot Singh Bath

.....Petitioner

Versus

Oriental Bank of Commerce and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta KKR, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Ahuja, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court after the possession of his residential house has taken over on 12.06.2019. According to the petitioner, the overdue amount is ₹2,61,088/- whereas according to the counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-bank the said amount is ₹3,50,000/-.

Be that as it may, counsel for the petitioner has offered a draft of ₹3,50,000/- for the purpose of restoration of the possession of the house in question and has submitted that the issue as to whether the petitioner has to pay the amount he is now offering or the amount claimed by the respondent may be decided by the bank by way of taking decision on the representations dated 01.05.2019 and 20.05.2019 filed by the petitioner.

Learned Counsel for the respondent-bank has not raised any objection in this regard, therefore, the present petition is hereby disposed of in view of the fact that the petitioner has handed over draft

of ₹3,50,000/- to the counsel for the respondent-bank in Court, with a direction to the respondent-bank to restore the possession of the house of the petitioner forthwith and shall also take a decision on the representations of the petitioner i.e. dated 01.05.2019 and 20.05.2019 Annexure P-4 and P-6 by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days.

Order dasti.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

16.07.2019
Kothiyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No