

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2450 of 2019
Date of decision: 19.8.2019

Changu Ram and others

.. Petitioners

v.

Shyam Sunder Prasad and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 22.1.2019 passed in CWP No. 4927 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“Writ petition is accordingly allowed and the direction is given to the respondents to give the petitioners full time wages w.e.f. 9.1.2018 as their cases were recommended vide Annexure P-18 and 38 months arrears will be given before filing of this writ petition within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

Learned counsel for the State has filed reply by way of affidavit of Deepak Gahlawat, IPS, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula today in court. The same is taken on record. It has been stated in the reply that arrears have been paid to petitioners No. 1 to 21 upto

31.3.2019 and in case of petitioner No. 22 upto 31.8.2018 as she left the job on that date.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from Sajjan Kumar, Assistant in the office of Director General of Police, Haryana submits that for payment of full time wages from 1.4.2019 till date the matter has been sent for sanctioning of the amount and needful will be done within six weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is not able to dispute the fact that arrears have been paid, however, he submits that there is some difference with regard to calculation of arrears.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to avail remedies in accordance with law, if aggrieved of the calculation of arrears.

However, it is made clear that in case the statement made today in court with regard to payment of full time wages from 1.4.2019 till date is not adhered to, the petitioners would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. If the petitioners are forced to revive the contempt petition, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting official.

Rule issued is hereby discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

19.8.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No